arXiv:1403.6222v1 [q-bio.MN] 25 Mar 2014

Theoretical Analysis of Discreteness-Induced Transition in Autocatalytic Reaction Dynamics

Nen Saito*
Research Center for Complex Systems Biology, The University of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153-8902, Japan

Kunihiko Kaneko†
Graduate School of Arts and Sciences, The University of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153-8902, Japan

(Dated: March 26, 2014)

As the total number of molecules in a system decreases, transitions in the qualitative behavior of autocatalytic chemical reaction dynamics may appear. By adapting a method based on the discrete-time Markov process, we provide here a general analytic tool for the reaction dynamics of systems with a small number of molecules. Bistability induced by the small-number effect in a two-component model is analyzed and excellent agreement with the simulated mean switching time is demonstrated. A novel transition involving the reversal of the chemical reaction flow is also found in a three-component model and quantified analytically using the proposed method.

PACS numbers: 82.39.-k, 05.40.-a, 02.50.Ey

Temporal changes in chemical concentrations are often analyzed by using the rate equation of the reaction kinetics in which a set of deterministic ordinary differential equations is adopted. Fluctuation around the average change in the concentration is neglected by assuming that the total number of molecules is sufficiently large; however, stochasticity in the reaction due to fluctuation in the number of reactants does exist, and it is non-negligible, especially when the number of molecules is small. Such stochasticity can introduce a qualitative change in the behavior of the reaction dynamics. The fluctuation around the average behavior is typically analyzed using the linear noise approximation (LNA) [1], which is represented by the Langevin equation with additive noise or the corresponding Fokker–Planck equation derived from van Kampen's system-size expansion [1, 2]. Recently, several theoretical studies have reported "small-number effects" or "discreteness-induced transitions" that lead to a qualitative deviation from the behavior expected by the LNA, due to the small number of components; these effects have been reported in catalytic reaction dynamics [3–10], reaction-diffusion systems [11–13], gene regulatory circuits [14], and ecology [15, 16].

One remarkable example of a discreteness-induced transition, the emergence of multi-stability, was reported by Togashi and Kaneko [3]. In their investigation of a catalytic chemical reaction system composed of four chemical species, it was revealed that when the total number of molecules is small, the system exhibits temporal switching between quasi-steady states in which only two chemical species are abundant and the others are extinct. The model was then simplified into two components and has been analyzed [4, 5, 16], but the small-number regime requires further mathematical analysis.

In this Letter, we propose a method based on the discrete-time Markov process for analyzing the small-number effect in chemical reactions. After demonstrating the validity of the method in an analysis of the two-component Togashi–Kaneko (2TK) model, we introduce a three-component model that exhibits a novel discreteness-induced transition: the current of the chemical reaction reverses when the total number of molecules becomes small. By applying both the method proposed here and the Fokker–Planck equation, the transition caused by a decrease in the number of molecules is explained with quantitative agreement with numerical simulations.

The 2TK model [4, 16] consists of the following four chemical reactions involving the two chemicals A and B:

$$A + B \underset{k}{\to} 2A, \quad A + B \underset{k}{\to} 2B, \quad A \underset{u}{\overset{v}{\leftrightarrows}} B, \tag{1}$$

where k, u, and v are the rate constants of the reactions. Note that the total number of molecules, denoted by N, is conserved in this model. Without loss of generality, we can set $k = 1$ by rescaling the time scale and set $u/k \to u$ and $v/k \to v$. By denoting the number of molecules of A and B as i and $N-i$, respectively, the model can then be defined in the state space $i = 0, 1, \ldots N$. The transition rates from state i to $i+1$ and from i to $i-1$ are given by

$$T_{i,i+1} = \frac{i(N-i)}{N} + v(N-i), \quad T_{i,i-1} = \frac{i(N-i)}{N} + ui, \tag{2}$$

where a volume of the system is set to be N. Examples of the time series of i/N for $u = v = 0.01$, obtained numerically using the Gillespie algorithm [17], are shown in Fig. 1(a). Although the result for large N ($N = 10000$), shown by the black line, converges to the fixed-point con-



FIG. 1: Behavior of the 2TK model. (a) Time series of the concentration of A molecules for $N = 50$ (blue line) and $N = 10000$ (black line). The fixed point predicted by the rate equation is $i/N = 0.5$. (b) Dependence of the scaled switching time uT_0 on N. Top to bottom: $u = 0.1$, 0.01, and 0.001. Simulated (symbols) and analytical [lines; Eq. (6)] results are shown.

centration $i/N = v/(u + v)$ predicted by the rate equation, switching behavior between $i/N = 0$ and $i/N = 1$ emerges for small N ($N = 50$), as shown by the blue line. The emergence of this switching behavior is an example of a discreteness-induced transition [3] or noise-induced bistability [16]. Previous studies [5, 16] give the critical value of N for the appearance of switching behavior to be $N_c \simeq uN$ for the case $v = u$. It is especially noteworthy that this model is similar to the two-allele Moran model with mutation in population genetics [18].

Although an analysis based on the Fokker–Planck equation is often adopted for chemical reaction systems, in general, it is not applicable when N is small, which is the case in which we are interested. Thus, we apply here a method based on a discrete-time Markov process without assuming a large N. To illustrate this method, we estimate the mean switching time from state $i = 0$ to state $i = N$, since this represents the characteristic time scale of the reaction dynamics, namely, the time required for switching. Similar treatments have been adopted in population genetics [19] (see also [15]). Assuming that no more than one of the reactions in Eq. (1) occurs within a time unit[20], the transition probabilities within the time unit from state i to $i+1$, λ_i, and from i to $i-1$, μ_i, are given as

$$\lambda_i = \frac{i(N-i)}{N} + v(N-i), \quad \mu_i = \frac{i(N-i)}{N} + ui\,. \quad (3)$$

To estimate the mean switching time from state $i = 0$ to state $i = N$, we set $i = 0$ as the initial condition and $i = N$ as the final absorbing state. Thus, the chemical reaction system in Eq. (1) is now described by a discrete-time Markov process with the transition probabilities λ_i and μ_i. The time spent in state j starting from state i, denoted by t_{ij}, satisfies

$$t_{ij} = \mu_i t_{i-1,j} + (1 - \mu_i - \lambda_i)t_{i,j} + \lambda_i t_{i+1,j} + \delta_{ij}, \quad (4)$$

where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta. Noting that the boundary conditions are $\mu_N = 0$ and $t_{N,j} = 0$ for $j = 0, \ldots N$, the time $t_{0,j}$ is calculated as

$$t_{0,j} = \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \sum_{l=j}^{N-1} \prod_{k=j+1}^{l} \frac{\mu_k}{\lambda_k}, \quad (5)$$

where $\prod_{k=j+1}^{j}(\mu_k/\lambda_k) = 1$. This can be obtained approximately as

$$\tilde{t}_{0,j} = \frac{\exp\left[-NF(\frac{j}{N} + \frac{1}{2N})\right]}{\lambda_j} \sum_{l=j}^{N-1} \exp\left[NF(\frac{l}{N} + \frac{1}{2N})\right], \quad (6)$$

where $F(x) = \int dx \ln\{\mu(x)/\lambda(x)\}$, $\lambda(x) = Nx(1-x) + Nv(1-x)$, and $\mu(x) = Nx(1-x) + Nux$. The switching time is thus given by $T_0 = \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \tilde{t}_{0,j}$. Figure 1(b) shows the estimated T_0, scaled by uT_0, which agrees well with that obtained from numerical simulations. Note that Biancalani et al. [16] calculated the mean switching time using the Fokker–Planck equation for large N and estimated that for small N in a heuristic manner. Our treatment, in contrast, gives a single expression, Eq. (6), that shows remarkable agreement for all N. It should be emphasized here that the expressions in Eqs. (4)–(6) are not limited to this specific model but are generally applicable to any λ_i and μ_i. The analogy between the Moran and 2TK models suggests that for small N the reaction dynamics involving an autocatalytic reaction such as $A + B \to 2A/2B$ generally give rise to "fixation" to a state in which only a single species dominates while the others are extinct. The outcome of the small-number effect is thus not limited to the emergence of bistability. As an example, we introduce here a three-component model, with autocatalytic reaction motifs, that exhibits a novel transition, i.e., the reversal of the net current of the chemical reactions, induced by the small-number effect.

The three-component model, illustrated in Fig. 2(a), involves the following reactions of the three chemical species A, B and C in a container with a volume N:

$$\begin{aligned} &A + B \underset{1}{\to} 2A/2B, \quad B + C \underset{1}{\to} 2B/2C, \quad A + C \underset{1}{\to} 2A/2C, \\ &A \underset{u}{\to} C, \qquad C \underset{u}{\to} B, \qquad C \underset{u}{\to} B, \\ &A + C \underset{k_c}{\longrightarrow} B + C, \quad B + A \underset{k_c}{\longrightarrow} C + A, \quad C + B \underset{k_c}{\longrightarrow} A + B. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Let a, b, and c be the number of molecules of A, B, and C, respectively. The transition rates $T_{(a',b',c'|a,b,c)}$ from state (a, b, c) to state (a', b', c') are then given as

$$\begin{aligned} T_{(a+1,b-1,c|a,b,c)} &= ub + \tfrac{ab}{N}, \quad T_{(a-1,b+1,c|a,b,c)} = k_c\frac{ac}{N} + \frac{ab}{N}, \\ T_{(a+1,b,c-1|a,b,c)} &= k_c\tfrac{bc}{N} + \tfrac{ac}{N}, \quad T_{(a-1,b,c+1|a,b,c)} = ua + \frac{ac}{N}, \\ T_{(a,b+1,c-1|a,b,c)} &= uc + \tfrac{bc}{N}, \quad T_{(a,b-1,c+1|a,b,c)} = k_c\frac{ab}{N} + \frac{bc}{N}, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Note that N is conserved. The rate equation of this



FIG. 2: Behavior of the three-component model. (a) Schematic representation of the model. Gray double-headed arrows represent the autocatalytic reactions, while the black arrows represent the nonautocatalytic reactions (e.g., $A + C \to B + C$). Dashed arrows indicate the one-body reactions. The net reaction current J flows clockwise for large N (right) and counterclockwise for small N (left). (b)–(d) Dependence of J on N for $(u, k_c) = (0.1, 1), (0.01, 0.1)$, and $(0.001, 0.01)$. Simulated results are shown by the black circles; theoretical estimates of J from Eq. (14) and Eq. (17) are shown by the thick red and blue lines, respectively; and dashed lines indicate regions in which the conditions for the theoretical estimates are invalid. The J values of the fixed-point solutions of the rate equation are indicated by the arrows.

model is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= u(y-x) + k_c(yz - xz), \\ \dot{y} &= u(z-y) + k_c(xz - xy), \\ \dot{x} &= u(x-z) + k_c(xy - yz), \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where x, y, and z are the concentrations of A, B, and C, respectively. Since the detailed balance condition is not satisfied, a cyclic molecular current can exist, and we define the net reaction current J as $J = J_{a\to b} + J_{b\to c} + J_{c\to a}$. Here, $J_{a\to b}$ is given by

$$J_{a\to b} = \sum_{a,b} P_{st}(a,b,c)\left[T_{(a-1,b+1,c|a,b,c)} - T_{(a+1,b-1,c|a,b,c)}\right], \tag{10}$$

where $c = N - a - b$, and $P_{st}(a,b,c)$ is the probability of state (a,b,c) in the steady state; $J_{b\to c}$ and $J_{c\to a}$ are given in a similar fashion. The net current J for the rate equation in Eq. (9) is calculated as $J = k_c/3 - u$, where $P_{st}(a,b,c)$ is given by the δ-function at the fixed-point solution $x = y = z = 1/3$.

Although the rate equation in Eq. (9) predicts a stationary clockwise current $J > 0$ for $k_c > 3u$, the numerical simulation gives a counterclockwise current $J < 0$ when the total number of molecules N is small. As shown in Fig. 2(b), (c), and (d), the net current J decreases with decreasing N and becomes negative. Hence, the small-number effect leads to a reversal of the net current of the chemical reaction. We analyze this transition by using both the Fokker–Planck equation derived from the master equation for large N and the proposed method for small N.

The master equation of this model is expressed as

$$\dot{P}(x,y,t) = \int dx'dy' \cdot \left[T_{(xy|x'y')}P(x',y',t) - T_{(x'y'|xy)}P(x,y,t)\right], \tag{11}$$

where $T_{(xy|x'y')}$ is obtained from Eq. (8) by letting $x = a/N$, $y = b/N$, and $z = c/N = 1 - x - y$. Using a Kramers–Moyal expansion to second order, the Fokker–Planck equation can be obtained from the master equation as

$$\dot{P}(x,y,t) = \left[-\frac{\partial}{\partial x}M_x - \frac{\partial}{\partial y}M_y + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}M_{xx} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}M_{yy} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y}M_{xy}\right] P(x,y,t), \tag{12}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M_x &= \langle \Delta x \rangle = (y-x)\{k_c(1-x-y)+u\}, \\ M_y &= \langle \Delta y \rangle = (1-x-2y)\{k_c x + u\}, \\ M_{xx} &= \langle (\Delta x)^2 \rangle = N^{-1}\left[(k_c(1-x-y)+u)(x+y) + 2x(1-x)\right], \\ M_{yy} &= \langle (\Delta y)^2 \rangle = N^{-1}\left[(k_c x + u)(1-x) + 2y(1-y)\right], \\ M_{xy} &= \langle \Delta x \Delta y \rangle = N^{-1}\left[-uy - k_c x(1-x-y) - 2xy\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Note that $\langle \cdot \rangle$ represents the average over the transition rates. Here, the stationary solution of Eq. (12) cannot be obtained analytically, since the transition rates do not satisfy the detailed balance condition. As an analytical estimate, we approximate the stationary solution of Eq. (12) by a Gaussian distribution of mean (m_x, m_y) and variance-covariance matrix Σ as $P_0(x,y) \propto \exp\left[-\boldsymbol{v}\Sigma^{-1}\boldsymbol{v}/2\right]$, where $\boldsymbol{v} = (x - m_x, y - m_y)$. Under this approximation, m_x, m_y, the variances V_x and V_y, and the covariance V_{xy} are obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} m_x &= m_y = 1/3, \\ V_x &= V_y = -2V_{xy} = \frac{2(2 + k_c + 3u)}{9(2 + k_c + k_c N + 3uN)}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that this Gaussian approximation is invalid when V_x or V_y are large because the estimated probability distribution $P_{st}(x,y)$ then extends beyond the domain $\Omega(x,y|0 \le x+y \le 1,\ x \ge 0, y \ge 0)$. Thus, $V_x \le m_x^2$ is a necessary condition for the validity of the Gaussian approximation.

The net current estimated from the Gaussian approximation is expressed as

$$J = \int_\Omega dxdy\left[k_c\{x(1-x) + y(1-y) - xy\} - u\right] P_0(x,y) - u\left(1 - \int_\Omega dxdy P_0(x,y)\right). \tag{14}$$



FIG. 3: Phase diagrams of the three-component model in the (a),(b) N-k_c plane and (c),(d) N-u plane. White and shaded regions represent positive and negative J (clockwise and counterclockwise current), respectively, as determined by the simulations. Thick red and blue lines indicate the theoretical results from Eq. (14) and Eq. (17), respectively, while the dotted lines indicate the results for which the validity condition for the theoretical estimate is not satisfied.

The second term in this equation is a result of replacing the probability mass of $P_0(x, y)$ outside Ω with a probability of states with $(x, y) = (1, 0)$, $(0, 1)$, or $(0, 0)$. As shown in Fig. 2(b), (c), and (d), the current J calculated using Eq. (14) agrees rather well with the numerical results, as long as $V_x \leq m_x^2$ holds.

For small N, the variance is much larger, and so the Fokker–Planck equation is no longer valid. The proposed method, however, is applicable. In contrast to the case with the 2TK model, the three-component model has two degrees of freedom, and thus the relationship in Eq. (4) cannot be applied straightforwardly. Nevertheless, we can apply Eq. (4) by focusing only on a relatively short time scale within which the process can be approximated as a single-variable Markov process. In the present model, autocatalytic reactions (e.g., $A + C \to 2A/2C$) tend to push the system into a state in which only a single type of molecule dominates, while the other reactions promote the coexistence of the three molecular species. Therefore, the model can be regarded as a molecule (e.g., A or C) extinction process if the autocatalytic reactions dominate.

Let us assume that only A molecules exist in the initial condition and that the system transits from $(a, b, c) = (N, 0, 0)$ to $(a, b, c) = (N-1, 0, 1)$ by the reaction $A \to C$ at $t = 0$. If u is sufficiently small, one can assume that one-body reactions (e.g., $A \to C$) do not occur within the time interval $1/Nu$ but that a molecule of A or C becomes extinct within the interval. These assumptions allow us to approximate the behavior of the model by a single-variable Markov process with the following transition probabilities λ_i and μ_i:

$$\lambda_i = \frac{i(N-i)}{N}, \quad \mu_i = (1 + k_c)\frac{i(N-i)}{N}, \tag{15}$$

where i now represents the number of A molecules. In these equations, both $i = 0$ and $i = N$ are absorbing states. During this transition process, any B molecules that are generated are counted as C molecules. The time $t_{N-1,j}$ spent in state j before the absorption starting from the initial state $i = N-1$ is calculated using Eq. (4) with the boundary condition $t_{0,j} = t_{N,j} = 0$;

$$t_{N-1,j} = \frac{\left(\prod_{k=j+1}^{N-1} \frac{\mu_k}{\lambda_k}\right)}{\lambda_j} \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{j}\left(\prod_{k=1}^{l-1} \frac{\mu_k}{\lambda_k}\right)}{\sum_{l=1}^{N}\left(\prod_{k=1}^{l-1} \frac{\mu_k}{\lambda_k}\right)} = \frac{1}{\alpha\lambda_j}\frac{1-\alpha^{-j}}{1-\alpha^{-N}}, \tag{16}$$

for $k_c \neq 0$, where $\alpha = 1 + k_c$ and $t_{N-1,j} = (N-j)^{-1}$ for $k_c = 0$. The total numbers of molecules that flow clockwise and counterclockwise within the interval $1/Nu$ are then calculated as $I_+ = \sum_{j=1}^{N-1}(1 + k_c)j(N-j)t_{N-1,j}/N$ and $I_- = 1/N + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} j(N-j)t_{N-1,j}/N$, respectively. The net current J is evaluated from $Nu(I_+ - I_-)$ as

$$J = -u + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} uk_c j(N-j)t_{N-1,j}. \tag{17}$$

This estimate is valid only when the time until absorption $T_{N-1} = \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} t_{N-1,j}$ is smaller than $1/Nu$. The current J, shown by the blue lines in Fig. 2(b), (c), and (d), agrees rather well with the numerical results when $T_{N-1} < 1/Nu$ holds.

Figure 3 shows phase diagrams of the regime for clockwise or counterclockwise currents. The phase boundary is calculated by the criterion $J = 0$. For small N, it is estimated from the solution of the equation: $2\alpha^{1-N} + k_c N - 2\alpha = 0$ [21]. For large N, the boundary is estimated from Eq. (14). Both the estimated boundaries agree well with the simulation. Figure 3 (c) and (d) clearly show that the phase boundary is independent of u as long as $T_{N-1} < 1/Nu$ holds.

In this Letter, we have presented a general method based on a discrete-time Markov process for analyzing small-number effects in chemical reactions. The method has been applied to analyze the emergence of bistability in the 2TK model, and also to analyze the reversal of the net reaction current in the three-component model. The three-component model here consists of homogeneous cyclic reactions, but extensions to chain-like reactions and systems with inhomogeneous reaction coefficients [6] are fairly straightforward. In the case of chain-like reactions, the dominant molecule in the steady state may change depending on N because the direction of the reaction current may change. Both our analytical methodology and the insight provided by these findings will assist in understanding several of the small-number effects reported recently in real cellular systems [14, 22].

This work was partially supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 21120004) on Innovative Areas "Neural creativity for communication" (No. 4103) and the Platform for Dynamic Approaches to Living System from MEXT, Japan. We acknowledge helpful discussions with Shuji Ishihara.

* saito@complex.c.u-tokyo.ac.jp, Tel.: +81-3-5454-6732
† kaneko@complex.c.u-tokyo.ac.jp, Tel.: +81-3-5454-6746

[1] N. G. Van Kampen, *Stochastic processes in physics and chemistry*, Vol. 1 (Elsevier, 1992).
[2] R. Kubo, K. Matsuo, and K. Kitahara, Journal of Statistical Physics **9**, 51 (1973).
[3] Y. Togashi and K. Kaneko, Physical review letters **86**, 2459 (2001).
[4] J. Ohkubo, N. Shnerb, and D. A. Kessler, Journal of the Physical Society of Japan **77** (2008).
[5] T. Biancalani, T. Rogers, and A. J. McKane, Physical Review E **86**, 010106 (2012).
[6] Y. Togashi and K. Kaneko, Journal of the Physical Society of Japan **72**, 62 (2003).
[7] A. Awazu and K. Kaneko, Physical Review E **80**, 010902 (2009).
[8] A. Awazu and K. Kaneko, Physical Review E **76**, 041915 (2007).
[9] M. Samoilov, S. Plyasunov, and A. P. Arkin, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **102**, 2310 (2005).
[10] D. Remondini, E. Giampieri, A. Bazzani, G. Castellani, and A. Maritan, Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications **392**, 336 (2013).
[11] N. M. Shnerb, Y. Louzoun, E. Bettelheim, and S. Solomon, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **97**, 10322 (2000).
[12] Y. Togashi and K. Kaneko, Physical Review E **70**, 020901 (2004).
[13] T. Butler and N. Goldenfeld, Physical Review E **84**, 011112 (2011).
[14] R. Ma, J. Wang, Z. Hou, and H. Liu, Physical review letters **109**, 248107 (2012).
[15] A. McKane and T. Newman, Physical review letters **94**, 218102 (2005).
[16] T. Biancalani, L. Dyson, and A. J. McKane, Physical Review Letters **112**, 038101 (2014).
[17] D. T. Gillespie, The journal of physical chemistry **81**, 2340 (1977).
[18] The Moran process describes the neutral evolution of a population under a fixed population size; an individual agent is randomly replaced by another in each generation. The 2TK model without the one-body reactions $A \leftrightarrow B$ is almost equivalent to the two-allele Moran model without mutation. The difference lies in the use of continuous and discrete time. Indeed, with a decrease in N, the Moran model is known to show a transition from a state with the coexistence of both alleles to a state with alternate fixation of only one the two alleles [19, 23], which corresponds to the switching behavior of the 2TK model. In addition, both the critical value of N and the steady-state distribution calculated using the Moran model coincide with those of the 2TK model [4, 16].
[19] W. J. Ewens, *Mathematical Population Genetics 1: I. Theoretical Introduction*, Vol. 27 (Springer, 2004).
[20] One can always let the time unit be sufficiently small by rescaling the time scale.
[21] The equation is derived from $J = 0$ in Eq. (17). The explicit solution can be obtained using Lambert's W function.
[22] T.-L. To and N. Maheshri, Science **327**, 1142 (2010).
[23] J. H. Gillespie, *Population genetics: a concise guide* (JHU Press, 2010).